

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 3673-0157P 7535 10/671,772 09/29/2003 Keiji Moriyama EXAMINER 03/27/2006 2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LEE, EDMUND H PO BOX 747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 1732

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/671	,772	MORIYAMA ET A	MORIYAMA ET AL.	
		Examir	ner	Art Unit		
			ND H. LEE	1732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cove r sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum so re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				١		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen 4\ ⊠ Na#a			4. []	. C (DTO 440)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are numerous spelling and grammatical errors in the specification, e.g. pg 1, In 10. Please review the entire specification for mistakes.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "a bowl-shaped half shell" (cl 1, ln 2) is indefinite because the scope and breadth of the term "bowl-shaped" is unascertainable. The phrase --hemispherical half shells-- is suggested.

The phrase "a bowl-shaped half shell" (cl 1, ln 2) is indefinite because there should really be more than one half shell formed. The remaining steps of claim 1 require the use of more than one half shell.

The phrase "two half shells" (cl 1, ln 4) is indefinite because it is unclear whether or not the half shells are related to the half shell formed in lines 2-3 of the claim 1. If they are the same then it should be positively and clearly recited as such.

The phrase "the half shells" (cl 1,ln 5) is indefinite because it is unclear whether or not the shells are the shells mentioned in line 4 or other half shells. If they are the half shells mentioned in line 4 then it should be positively and clearly recited as such.

The third step of claim 1 (cl 1, ln 8) is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether or not the mold is clamped with something inside. If the mold is clamped with

Application/Control Number: 10/671,772 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

the solid core and the two half shells therein then it should be positively and clearly recited as such. It should be noted that the specification supports clamping the mold while the core and two half shells are mounted in the hemispherical cavity of the lower portion.

The phrase "and causing the excessive thermoplastic resin composition to flow out of the spherical cavity" (cl 1, Ins 12-14) is indefinite because it is unclear as to what causes the flow. The specification supports the flowing being caused by heating and pressurizing of the resin composition during the mold clamping. See page 5, 3rd paragraph.

The fifth step of claim 1 is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether or not it is related to the fourth step. If the fifth step is performed after the fourth step then it should be positively and clearly recited as such. The specification supports the fifth step occurring after the fourth step. See page 6, 1st full paragraph.

The phrase "wherein...put at the second step" (cl 1, Ins 19-20) is indefinite because it is idiomatically incorrect.

The phrase "set to be 105% to 120%" (cl 1, ln 20) is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether or not it is set. The phrase "to be" should be replaced with --is--.

Correction is required.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US patents show the state of the art: 6093357; 4501715; 3819795; 6905647; and 6846442.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,772 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

2/15/02

EHL